

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/811,052	03/29/2004	Jeffrey John-Carl Tuttle		9520	
7590 10/19/2005			EXAMINER		
Jeffrey Tuttle			. BLAU, STEPHEN LUTHER		
42177 Blairmoor Sterling Heights, MI 48313			ART UNIT PAPER		
	•		3711		

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	who	-

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/811,052	TUTTLE, JEFFREY JOHN-CARL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Stephen L. Blau	3711			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 A</u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 April 2005</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3,4 and 7-9 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,5 and 6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/811,052 Page 2

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 3-4 and 7-9 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 7 April 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-2 and 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thill in view of Saso.

Thill discloses a wood type head having a hosel (12) extending generally upwardly from the heel end (Fig. 2), a hosel having at least one reinforcement bridge of material extending out from the hosel to the main body creating a roughly cylindrical space underneath the reinforcement bridge of no material and a hosel reinforcement bridge extending from a hosel to the upper surface of the head (See enclosure (1)).

Application/Control Number: 10/811,052 Page 3

Art Unit: 3711

Thill lacks a hosel having a generally upwardly open hosel bore. Saso discloses a hosel having a generally upwardly open hosel bore (Figs. 2, 5). In view of the publication of Saso it would have been obvious to modify the wood type head of Thill to have a hosel having a generally upwardly open hosel bore in order to secure a shaft inside the bore when assembling a club.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. In order to overcome the prior art of record the examiner recommends adding the following at the end of claims 1 and 5 right before the punctuation mark of the period. (- wherein said club head comprises a wood-type club head in which the hosel reinforcement bridge extends from the hosel to the upper surface of the club head, wherein said bridge forms a protrusion from said upper surface with said space extending higher than said upper surface --). In addition, claims 2-4 and 6-9 would have to be canceled. If the examiner found no better art in an updated search than claims 1 and 5 would be allowable.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Jackson and Devendorf disclose cylindrical spaces beneath bridges.

Art Unit: 3711

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen L. Blau whose telephone number is (571) 272-4406. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri 10:00 AM - 6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eugene Kim can be reached on (571) 272-4463. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Slb 15 October 2005

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Dridge hosel Space (Eoughly Cylindrical)

Enclosure (1)